

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Martinez, Jacquelynn](#)
Subject: FW: Comments on proposed amendments to CrR 8.3, CrR 4.7, and CrRLJ 3.3
Date: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 4:07:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: Schilling, Dan <Dan.Schilling@seattle.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 4:04 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Comments on proposed amendments to CrR 8.3, CrR 4.7, and CrRLJ 3.3

External Email Warning! This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

Greetings

I am most troubled by the proposed amendment to **CrR 8.3**. My understanding is that it would allow a judge to dismiss a case if they find their has been harmless/non-prejudicial negligent or arbitrary conduct by the State. I fear that such dismissals could become arbitrary themselves, and **potentially creates a separation of powers issue in which the judiciary divests the executive of the ability to prosecute as they deem appropriate**. This amendment does not actually solve any problems, just creates more and may not survive scrutiny due to the separation of powers issue created. Courts are well familiar with “harmless error” to penalize attorneys for the same is not appropriate.

Re amendment to :CrR 4.7 I think that requiring an in camera review or a sign off by the prosecuting office re: the redactions made is appropriate. I don't see a need to create fear and safety risks for victims in the name of expediency.

Re amendment to CrRLJ 3.3 allowing time for trial to restart after a failure to appear without requiring the defendant to appear in person or remotely will be a drain on prosecutorial and **judicial** resources, filling our dockets with cases where there is no assurance the defendant will actually appear for trial. There is nothing broken here, why are we fixing a non-problem.



Dan Schilling
He/Him
Assistant City Prosecutor

Seattle City Attorney's Office
Criminal Division
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050

Seattle, WA 98104-7095

Phone: 206-684-8406

FAX: 206-684-4648

dan.schilling@seattle.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This message may contain information that is protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or by other confidentiality provisions. If this message was sent to you in error, any use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please contact me at the telephone number or e-mail address listed above and delete this message without printing, copying, or forwarding it. Thank you.